

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,499	STOCKBOWER, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1724	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(3) _____.

(2) Timothy J. King.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5, 11, and 14-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Ardes, of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 4 to specify the key as having at least two attachment elements attached to the bowl so that the filter cartridge, the key, and the bowl could be detached from the manifold as one piece to patently distinguish over Ardes. Agreed to amend claim 5 to specify "prongs extending from said key which fit over a lip of a collar on said bowl" in order to agree with the structural arrangement shown in FIG. 8 of the drawings. Agreed to cancel non-elected claims 1-3, 11, and 16. Agreed to cancel claims 14 and 15 since claims 4 and 5, from which claims 14 and 15 depended, failed to specify the recited "flanges" specified in claims 14 and 15. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details. .